Z**a zgodność z oryginalem** Wydział Hymanistyczny

dois vyuziai inio

mgr Kanorasi Konieczna-Montak

La Sapienza

UNIWERSYTET ŚLĄSKI

W KATOWICACH

Revisione esterna dottorandi DAVIDE PASSI e GIACOMO TRAINA 35° ciclo zial Humanistyczny
41-200 Sosnowiec
gen Stefana Grota-Roweckiego 5

PASSA DAVID

Evaluation form for PhD dissertation

Evaluation form

Title of the thesis

Fictional Gayspeak and Gay Men in Twenty First Century British Drama

Affiliation of the reviewer

Pofessor of English, Universitat de València

Report

This PhD dissertation constitutes a neat, clear presentation of a practical approach to the linguistic representation of gay characters in 21st-century British theatre. The dissertation meets all the basic requirements for a doctoral academic work, in terms of literature review, organisation, structure and presentation of results. This work offers in interesting (and powerful) combination of quantitative and qualitative research procedures, which gives strength to the whole project. An innovative and practical framework for analysis is offered -i.e. a compilation of linguistic traits usually (though impressionistically) associated with male gay characters, deriving from a thorough revision of previous literature on the topic. Results are interesting though perhaps rather limited, but all in all they constitute a remarkable step ahead in comparison with previous studies. Due to the author's ambitious research, perhaps a few issues have been left unattended -for instance, a greater connection between quantitative and qualitative analytical procedures; a more refined typology for the analysis of gayspeak; a wider exploration of the (male gay) language in literature and drama; and others. All in all, though, a remarkable (and practical) piece of research that constitutes a clear invitation to pursue similar (and more detailed) analyses.

Confidential report (it will not be s	hown to th	ne candida	te)		
Evaluation file (optional)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	· · ·				
	: : :				
Presentation and clarity	47 				
	7 2 1 1 2 4 2 7 7				
	[] N ɔne	[] Poor	[] Average	[] Good	[X] Excellent
The reviewer should be able to read to clear and 'user friendly', without dup	the tent wi dications o	thout diffic	culty. This imp	olies that th	e dissertation is
Integration and coherence	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
•	7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 8 1 1				
	,				
	[]None	[] Poor	[] Average	[] Good	[X] Excellent
The manuscript should present logica	l and atio	nal links be	tween differe	ent parts of	the thesis.
Introduction to scientific background					
	TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT				
	The second secon				
	[]None	[] Poor	[] Average	[] Good	[X] Excellent

The text should contain a satisfactory introduction to the scientific background which is relevant to the research, preparing the reader to the exposition of the problem.

		The second secon					
Review of relevant literature							
	[] None	[] Poc	[] Average	[] Good	[X] Excellent		
The candidate must have a detailed knowledge of original sources, have a thorough knowledge of the field, and understand the main theoretical and methodological issues.							
Statement of research problem		: :					
	[] None	[] Poo	[] Average	[X] Good	[] Excellent		
A clear statement of the research problem should be made, together with specific hypotheses,							
predictions, or questions which the re	search is de	esigned	o address.				
		:					
Originality							
	[] None	[] Poo	[] Average	[] Good	[X] Excellent		
The research must be the candidate's to the research topic.	own work.	The de⊰r	ee of indepen	dence may [,]	vary according		
		2					
Contribution to knowledge and scient	ific relevan	ce :					

	[]None	[] Poor	[] Average	[] Good	[X] Excellent
The dissertation should be substantion refereed journal, a book or research			o form the ba	sis of two a	rticles on
Mastery of the English language					
	E				
	[]None	[] Poor	[] Average	[] Good	[X] Excellent
The candidate must be proficient in w scientific/technical language.	ritter Engl	ish and sho	ow mastery of	f appropria	te
A major goal of the review process is	to ev luate	if the pre	sent version o	f the thesis	is:
1) adequate as is	1.				
2) require minor revision					
3) require major revision	:				
for admission of the candidate to the	defer se of	the work i	n front of a na	ational eval	uation board.
Date: 3/29/2023 Reviewer: Santaemilia José	[시] Acc	ept as is	[] Minor revi	ision []N	lajor revision