Za zgodność z oryginalem

Brief report on Irene Kendo PhD revisions. 2ND revision David J. Newbold

Podpis Wydział Humanistyczny specjalista

W KATOWICACH Wydział Humanistyc<mark>zny</mark> 41-200 Sosnowiec mgr Karolina Kometzna-Montak gen. Stefana Grota-Roweckiego S

UNIWERSYTET ŚLASK

The candidate has addressed the major points raised in the review of her work by this reader.

Some of the changes and integrations such as a section on the rhetoric of persuasion (which however is not much more than a passing reference) may have been suggested by another reviewer.

However, some inconsistencies remain, both editorial and more substantial, e.g.:

The candidate claims in her report that she added a section entitled 'The emergence of the English Language' and numbered 1.2. However, section 1.2 (see list of contents on page 7) in the submitted thesis is entitled 'Foreign Travellers and Researchers in the Albanian Lands', and there is no section 1.3. The English language does, however, emerge as a section 1.3 in the body of the text. A more careful editing is required for a revised version!

A weak point in the presentation continues to be the research questions, which have been reformulated as statements about the areas in which the researcher intends to work. In the opinion of this reviewer, research questions should be just that: questions, to which the researcher seeks to find answers.

On the whole, however, the work is substantially improved.

DJN, 12.07.2022